

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/049,157	02/01/2002	Michael Franklin Glass	02004.056	8262	
7	590 04/06/2004		EXAMINER		
Christopher J Fildes Fildes & Outland			ROSENBERG, LAURA B		
Grosse Pointe			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
20916 Mack Avenue Michigan, MI 48236			3616		
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	70			
Advisory Action	10/049,157	GLASS, MICHAEL	FRANKLIN			
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Laura B Rosenberg	3616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (' condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	 a timely filed amendment whi 	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	cation in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s): <u>35 USC 112, 2nd paragr</u>	aph rejection of cla	<u>im 7</u> .			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8 and 10-17</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
□ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		Cellrett				

ERIC CULBRETH PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 110/049,157

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to previously presented claims does not read over the prior art of record, and the addition of new claims raise new issues that would require further consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art of record would read on the amendment to the previously presented claims, and the newly added claims would require further consideration.